

attached to the second lever arm at the point corresponding to the attachment of the first shaft gripper on the first lever arm.

17. (Original) An arrow extractor as set forth in Claim **14** wherein said first shaft and said second shaft grippers are not less than two inches each in length nor more than 5 inches each in length and the length of the second shaft gripper is equal to the length of the first shaft gripper.

18. (Original) An arrow extractor as set forth in Claim **14** further having an arrow shaft gripper liner made of a flexible rubbery material in the elongated grooves of the first and second arrow shaft grippers.

19. (New) An arrow extractor as set forth in claim **18** in which the first and second arrow shaft grippers come into substantial contact with each other when the lever arms are brought to parallel position.

20. (New) An arrow extractor as set forth in claim **18** in which the first and second arrow shaft grippers do not yet contact each other when the lever arms are brought to parallel position.

REMARKS

The Examiner has required a provisional restriction between the two embodiments, or species, of the invention shown in **FIGS. 1A** and **1B**, and shown in **FIGS. 1C** and **1D** in case generic claims are not allowed. The Examiner has stated that claim **1** is currently generic.

In response to the Examiner's restriction requirement, Applicant elects for further examination claims directed to the embodiment shown in **FIGS. 1A** and **1B** for further prosecution in case generic claims are not found allowable. As filed, all claims are believed to have been generic, *i.e.* claims **1** through **18**. However, two new claims have been added in view of the Examiner's restriction requirement, namely dependent claims **19** and **20**. Of these, claim

19 is directed more specifically to the embodiment of **FIGS. 1A** and **1B** and claim **20** is directed to the embodiment of **FIGS. 1C** and **1D**.

The embodiment of **FIGS. 1A** and **1B** has the advantage of exerting greater force upon the arrow shaft to grasp it securely during maximum clamping, particularly if the person using the gripper has large hands. The embodiment of **FIGS. 1C** and **1D**, on the other hand, tends to form a more compact arrow extractor more conveniently carried in the user's pocket or the like.

Since this response is being forwarded to the Patent Office on October 25, namely the day after Sunday, October 24, the day when this response was due, no extension should be necessary and since the two dependent claims added do not increase the number of claims beyond the 20 claims total covered by the original filing fee, no additional claim fees should be due. However, if any additional fees are due, please charge against Deposit Account No. 15-0385.

Respectively submitted,



Charles A. Wilkinson
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 20,891

Date: October 25, 2004

Law Offices of Charles A. Wilkinson
68 East Broad Street
P.O. Box 1426
Bethlehem, PA 18016-1426
Phone : 610/867-9700
Fax : 610/868-8869